K-arcs in finite Benz planes

Aytaç Kurtuluş and Şükrü Olgun

Abstract

In this paper, it is shown that certain combinatorial properties about k−arc in finite Benz planes by using the concept of k −arc and $(k; n)$ − arc in finite affine planes.

M.S.C. 2000: 51A05, 51E20, 51E21. Key words: Benz planes, $k-arc$; $(k; 3)-arc$.

§1. Introduction

The subject of arcs has been studied in detail in projective planes ([4], [5], [6]) but it is rather new in Benz planes. Arcs have been partially studied in Benz planes [2]. In this paper, we introduce some combinatorial properties about k−arcs in Benz planes.

An incidence structure is a pair of sets (\mathcal{P}, B) with a binary relation $I \subseteq \mathcal{P} \times B$ called *incidence*. The elements of P are called *points*, and the elements of B are called blocks.

Two points are *dependent* if there is no block incident to both of them, otherwise they are said to be independent.

Given a point $P \in \mathcal{P}$, the set of all points of $\mathcal P$ which are dependent with P is denoted by P^{\perp} .

The nucleus of an incidence structure is the set of points of P which are incidence with no block of B.

A *singular line* is a maximal set of pairwise dependent points of P .

Given an incidence structure (P, B) , the *derivate structure* at one of its points, say X, is an incidence structure (\mathcal{P}_X, B_X) with the set of points $\mathcal{P}_X = \mathcal{P} \setminus (\{X\} \cup X^{\perp}),$ and the set of blocks B_X consisting of the restrictions to \mathcal{P}_X of all the blocks in B that are incident with X, plus the singular lines containing at least two points of \mathcal{P}_X .

A finite Benz plane is an incidence structure $\mathcal{B} = (\mathcal{P}, B)$ whose blocks are called circles, such that:

- B1 Any independent three points are on exactly one circle and any circle has at least three points.
- B2 Given $X \in \mathcal{P}$ and $c \in B$, if $|X^{\perp} \cap c| \geq 3$ then $c \subset X^{\perp}$.

Applied Sciences, Vol.5, No.1, 2003, pp. 49-54.

c Balkan Society of Geometers, Geometry Balkan Press 2003.

- B3 Any circle has precisely one point in common with each singular line.
- B4 Given a point $X \in \mathcal{P}$ and a singular line l, then X^{\perp} either contains one point of l or all the points of l .
- B5 Given a point $X \in \mathcal{P}$, if X is not in the nucleus then the derivate structure at X is a finite affine plane.

If q is the order of the derived affine plane, then q is said to be the *order* of the Benz plane.

Theorem 1. ([1],[3]) There are only three types of Benz planes.

- I The nucleus is empty and there are no singular lines $(M\ddot{o}bius plane, or inverse$ plane).
- II The nucleus contains precisely one point. Any point, except the one in the nucleus, is contained in precisely one singular line (Laguerre plane).
- III The nucleus is empty. Any point is contained in precisely two singular lines. The set of singular lines is partitioned in two families: Two lines belonging to the same family are disjoint and any line has precisely one point in common with each line of the opposite family (Minkowski plane).

A Möbius plane of order q contains $q^2 + 1$ points, a Laguerre plane of order q contains $q^2 + q$ points, and a Minkowski plane of order q contains $(q + 1)^2$ points.

The set of all circles through two distinct points P, Q is called bundle and denoted by $[PQ]$. These points P, Q are called the carriers of the bundle $[PQ]$. In a Benz plane of order q the bundle $[PQ]$ contains $q + \lambda$ circles such that Benz plane is Möbius plane, Laguerre plane, Minkowski plane, for $\lambda = 1, 0, -1$, respectively.

A $(k, 3)$ −arc K in an affine plane of order q, is a set of k points such that some line of the plane meets K in three points but such that no line meets K in more than three points [7].

A will denote a finite affine plane of order q. A line l of A is an i–secant of a $(k; 3)$ −arc K if $|l \cap K| = i$, $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Let τ'_i denotes total number of *i*-secants to \mathcal{K} , δ'_{i} denotes the number of *i*-secants to \mathcal{K} through a point P of \mathcal{K} , σ'_{i} denotes the number of *i*−secants to K through a point Q of $\mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{K}$.

Lemma 1. ([6]) For a $(k; 3)$ – arc K, the following equations hold:

$$
i\tau'_i = \sum_P \delta'_i, \qquad (q-i)\tau'_i = \sum_Q \sigma'_i.
$$

§2. k−arcs

Definition. ([1]) A $k-$ arc in a finite Benz plane is a set of k points, none two of which are on the same singular line, such that there is no circle containing more than three of them.

Throughout this section, β will denote a finite Benz plane of order q. A circle c of B is an *i*–secant of a k–arc K if $|c \cap K| = i$, $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Let τ_i denotes total number of i–secants to K, $\delta_i = \delta_i(P)$ denotes total number of i–secants to K through a point P of K, $\sigma_i = \sigma_i(Q)$ denotes total number of i–secants to K through a point Q of $\mathcal{B}\setminus\mathcal{K}, \gamma_i=\gamma_i(R,S)$ denotes total number of *i*-secants to K through two points R, S of K, $\eta_i = \eta_i(M, N)$ denotes total number of i−secants to K through two points $R, S \text{ of } \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{K}.$

A k–arc in B is complete if there is no $k + 1$ –arc containing it.

Proposition 1. For a k–arc K, the following equations hold:

(i) $\tau_0 + \tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3 = q(q^2 + \lambda)$ (ii) $\tau_1 + 2\tau_2 + 3\tau_3 = kq(q + \lambda)$ (iii) $\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3 = q(q + \lambda)$ (iv) $\gamma_2 + \gamma_3 = q + \lambda$ (v) $\gamma_3 = k - 2$ (vi) $\sigma_0 + \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3 = q(q + \lambda)$ (vii) $\eta_0 + \eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 = q + \lambda$ (viii) $\eta_1 + 2\eta_2 + 3\eta_3 = k$ (ix) $\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ i \end{pmatrix}$ i \setminus $\tau_i = \sum$ $[P,Q]$ $\gamma_i, i=2,3$ (x) $i\tau_i = \sum$ P $\delta_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ (xi) $(q + 1 - i)\tau_i = \sum$ Q $\sigma_i, i = 0, 1, 2, 3$

Proof. The proof is finished each equation in the proposition expresses in a different way the cardinality of the following sets, respectively.

- (i) $\{c \mid c \in B\}$.
- (ii) $\{(P, c) | P \in \mathcal{K} \cap c, c \text{ is an } i-\text{secant of } \mathcal{K}\}\.$
- (iii) $\{c \mid P \in \mathcal{K}, cIP, c \text{ is an } i-\text{secant of } \mathcal{K}\}\.$
- (iv) $\{c \mid c \in [RS] \text{ with } R, S \in \mathcal{K}, c \text{ is an } i-\text{secant of } \mathcal{K}\}\.$
- (v) $\{(P, c) \mid P \in \mathcal{K}, cIP, c \in [RS] \text{ with } R, S \in \mathcal{K}, c \text{ is an } i-\text{secant of } \mathcal{K}\}.$
- (vi) $\{c \mid Q \in \mathcal{B} \backslash \mathcal{K}, cIQ, c \text{ is an } i-\text{secant of } \mathcal{K}\}\.$
- (vii) $\{c \mid c \in [RS] \text{ with } R, S \in \mathcal{B} \backslash \mathcal{K}, c \text{ is an } i-\text{secant of } \mathcal{K}\}.$
- (viii) $\{(P, c) \mid P \in \mathcal{K} \cap c, c \in [RS] \text{ with } R, S \in \mathcal{B} \backslash \mathcal{K}, c \text{ is an } i-\text{secant of } \mathcal{K}\}\.$
- (ix) $\{(P,Q\},c) \mid c \in [PQ]$ with $P,Q \in \mathcal{K}$, c is an i secant of $\mathcal{K}\}.$

(x) $\{(P, c) | P \in \mathcal{K}, cIP, c \text{ is an } i-\text{secant of } \mathcal{K}\}\.$

(xi) $\{(Q, c) | Q \in \mathcal{B} \backslash \mathcal{K}, QIc, c \text{ is an } i-\text{secant of } \mathcal{K}\}\.$

 \Box

For a k–arc K, let $(c_j)_i$ be the number of points of $\mathcal{B}\setminus\mathcal{K}$ such that through each of them exactly *i*, j−secants of K pass.

Proposition 2. The constants $(c_j)_i$ of a k–arc K in B satisfy the following equations with the summation taken from 0 to β_j ,

Proof. The proof is clear that the equations express in different ways the cardinality of $\{Q \mid Q \in \mathcal{B} \backslash \mathcal{K}\}$ set in \mathcal{B} .

Proposition 3. The constants $(c_j)_i$ of a k−arc K in B (B is Möbius, Laguerre, Minkowski plane, for $\lambda = 1, 0, -1$, respectively), satisfy the following equations;

$$
\sum_{\substack{i=0 \ i=0}}^{\beta_0} i(c_0)_i = (q+1) [q(q^2 + \lambda) - (\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3)]
$$

\n
$$
\sum_{\substack{i=0 \ i>0}}^{\beta_1} i(c_1)_i = kq \left[q(q+\lambda) - \binom{2}{k-1} - (k-1)(q-k+\lambda+2) \right]
$$

\n
$$
\sum_{\substack{i=0 \ i>0}}^{\beta_2} i(c_2)_i = \binom{2}{k} (q-1)(q-k+\lambda+2)
$$

\n
$$
\sum_{\substack{i=0 \ i>0}}^{\beta_3} i(c_3)_i = \binom{3}{k} (q-2)
$$

Proof. The proof is easily obtained by considering the equations express in different ways the cardinality of the following sets;

$$
\{(Q, c) \mid Q \in c \backslash \mathcal{K}, c \text{ a } i-\text{secant of } \mathcal{K}\}\
$$

when c is 0–secant, 1–secant, 2–secant, 3–secant for i, ii, iii, iv , respectively. \Box

Let K be a k–arc in $\mathcal{B}, Q \in \mathcal{B} \backslash \mathcal{K}$, if Q is not contained by singular lines through K, then $\vartheta = 0$, if Q is contained by one singular line through K, then $\vartheta = 1$, if Q is contained by two singular lines through K, then $\vartheta = 2$, and a k–arc in B is $(k - \vartheta; 3)$ –arc in \mathcal{B}_Q . Hence, while circles through Q are 0, 1, 2, 3–secant circles to K in B, this circles are 0, 1, 2, 3–secant lines to $(k; 3)$ –arc in \mathcal{B}_Q .

Proposition 4. Let $P \in \mathcal{B} \backslash \mathcal{K}$ be any point and l is any singular line through \mathcal{K} with $P \notin l$. If T_i denotes total number of i−secants to K through P and B is Möbius,

Laguerre, Minkowski plane, for $\mu = 0, 1, 2$, respectively, then the following equations hold:

$$
T_0 = \tau'_0 - \mu(q - k) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_Q \sigma'_0 - \mu(q - k)
$$

\n
$$
T_1 = \tau'_1 - \mu k = \sum_P \delta'_1 - \mu k = \frac{1}{q - 1} \sum_Q \sigma'_1 - \mu k
$$

\n
$$
T_2 = \tau'_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_P \delta'_2 = \frac{1}{q - 2} \sum_Q \sigma'_2
$$

\n
$$
T_3 = \tau'_3 = \frac{1}{3} \sum_P \delta'_3 = \frac{1}{q - 3} \sum_Q \sigma'_3.
$$

Proof. For $\vartheta = 0$, k–arc in \mathcal{B} is $(k; 3)$ –arc in \mathcal{B}_P . Therefore, it is obtained upper ations from Lemma 1. equations from Lemma 1.

Proposition 5. Let $P \in \mathcal{B} \backslash \mathcal{K}$ be any point and l is any singular line through K with $P \in l$. If T_i denotes total number of i–secants to K through P and B is Möbius, Laguerre, Minkowski plane, for $\mu = 0, 1, 2$, respectively, then the following equations hold:

$$
T_0 = \tau'_0 - \mu(q - k + 1) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_Q \sigma'_0 - \mu(q - k + 1)
$$

\n
$$
T_1 = \tau'_1 - \mu(k - 1) = \sum_P \delta'_1 - \mu(k - 1) = \frac{1}{q - 1} \sum_Q \sigma'_1 - \mu(k - 1)
$$

\n
$$
T_2 = \tau'_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_P \delta'_2 = \frac{1}{q - 2} \sum_Q \sigma'_2
$$

\n
$$
T_3 = \tau'_3 = \frac{1}{3} \sum_P \delta'_3 = \frac{1}{q - 3} \sum_Q \sigma'_3.
$$

Proof. For $\vartheta = 1$, k–arc in \mathcal{B} is $(k - 1, 3)$ –arc in \mathcal{B}_P . Hence, it is obtained upper ations from Lemma 1. equations from Lemma 1.

Proposition 6. Let l_1 and l_2 be any two singular lines meet K and $P \in \mathcal{B} \backslash \mathcal{K}$ with $P = l_1 \cap l_2$. If T_i denotes total number of i–secants to K through P and B is Möbius, Laguerre, Minkowski plane, for $\mu = 0, 1, 2$, respectively, then the following equations hold:

$$
T_0 = \tau'_0 - \mu(q - k + 2) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_Q \sigma'_0 - \mu(q - k + 2)
$$

\n
$$
T_1 = \tau'_1 - \mu(k - 2) = \sum_P \delta'_1 - \mu(k - 2) = \frac{1}{q - 1} \sum_Q \sigma'_1 - \mu(k - 2)
$$

\n
$$
T_2 = \tau'_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_P \delta'_2 = \frac{1}{q - 2} \sum_Q \sigma'_2
$$

\n
$$
T_3 = \tau'_3 = \frac{1}{3} \sum_P \delta'_3 = \frac{1}{q - 3} \sum_Q \sigma'_3.
$$

Proof. For $\vartheta = 2$, k–arc in β is $(k-2,3)$ –arc in β_P . Hence, it is obtained upper ations from Lemma 1. equations from Lemma 1.

References

- [1] A. Beutelspacher, *Einführung in die endliche Geometrie*, Vol II, Bibliographisches Institut, Wiessenschaftsverlag, 1983, Cambridge University Press, 1986.
- [2] A. Sonnino, k−archi nei piani di Benz, Note di Matematica, 15 (1995), 111-119.
- [3] F. Buekenhout, Les plans de Benz, une approche unifie des plans de Möbius, Laguerre, Minkoski, J. Geometry, 17 (1981), 61-67.
- [4] F. Buekenhout, Handbook of Incidence Geometry, Netherlands, Amsterdam, 1995.
- [5] G. E. Martin, On Arcs in a Finite Projective Plane, Journal of Mathematics, 10 (1965), 376-393.
- [6] J.W.P. Hirschfeld, Projective Geometries over Finite Fields, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1979.

Authors' address:

A. Kurtuluş and Ş. Olgun, Osmangazi University, Departmant of Mathematics, 26480 Eskişehir-Türkiye E-mail: agunaydi@ogu.edu.tr