On the closed-form solution of the improved labor augmented Solow-Swan model

Clara Germanà and Luca Guerrini

Abstract

In this paper we consider the Solow-Swan model with purely labor-augmenting technological progress in which the labor growth rate follows the generalized logistic equation. We prove this model to have a dynamic equation of growth whose solution can be expressed in closed-form via the hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1$.

M.S.C. 2000: 33C05, 34A05, 91B62. Key words: Hypergeometric function, closed-form solution, Solow-Swan model.

1 Introduction

The earliest mathematical formulation of economic growth theory was made by Domar [2] and Harrod [3]. In these models input coefficients are fixed and full employment equilibrium growth is not guaranteed. This undesiderable feature led Solow [5] and Swan [6] to the formulation of the so-called neoclassical model which allows for factor substitution and hence full employment equilibrium. The aim of their papers was to provide a theoretical framework for understanding world-wide growth of output and the persistence of geographical differences in per capita output. In the Solow-Swan model, each individual of the population is a member of the labor force and the growth rate of the population is constant. This assumption was relaxed by Cai [1], who considered an increasing bounded labor force whose growth rate decreases monotonically to zero. When the rate of population is given by the logistic equation, Mingari Scarpello and Ritelli [4] showed that the resulting model has a dynamic equation of growth whose solution can be integrated in closed-form through the hypergeometric function $_2F_1$. In this paper, we extend their result to the Solow-Swan model with labor-augmenting technical progress and labor growth rate given by the generalized logistic equation.

2 The model

Let us assume a closed economy where an homogeneous good is produced according to a technology involving three inputs: physical capital, labor and technology.

Applied Sciences, Vol.7, 2005, pp. 101-106.

[©] Balkan Society of Geometers, Geometry Balkan Press 2005.

Considering a Cobb-Douglas production we arrive at $Y(t) = K(t)^{\alpha}[A(t)L(t)]^{1-\alpha}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, where t stands for time, Y(t) is the flow of output, K(t) is the stock of physical capital, L(t) is the labor force and A(t) is the level of technology. We assume that A(t) increases over time at the exogenous and constant rate g, that is $\dot{A}(t)/A(t) = g > 0$. Based on the feature of constant returns to scale, we can specify the economy's output in terms of effective labor as follows $y(t) = k(t)^{\alpha}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, where y(t) = Y(t)/[A(t)L(t)] is the output per unit of effective labor and k(t) = K(t)/[A(t)L(t)] denotes the capital per unit of effective labor. Output is assumed to be used for consumption c(t), or for investment I(t) in physical capital. A constant fraction δ of the capital stock depreciates every period. This means that if, at the beginning of a period, the capital stock equals K(t), then, at the end of it, $\delta K(t)$ will have been worn off. Therefore, the net increase in capital at any moment in time equals gross investment less capital depreciation, that is

(2.1)
$$K(t) = I(t) - \delta K(t)$$

The output of the economy equals total income, whereas investments equal savings. Households save a constant fraction of their income, that is the saving rate s satisfies 0 < s < 1. Hence, the following relations hold

$$I(t) = S(t) = sY(t).$$

Equations (2.1) and (2.2) imply that $K(t) = sY(t) - \delta K(t)$. To keep our analysis in terms of effective labor, we divide both sides of this equation by A(t)L(t), and find that

$$\frac{\dot{K}(t)}{A(t)L(t)} = \frac{sY(t)}{A(t)L(t)} - \frac{\delta K(t)}{A(t)L(t)} = sk(t)^{\alpha} - \delta k(t).$$

Consequently, the growth rate of the capital per effective worker writes as

$$\dot{k}(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{K(t)}{A(t)L(t)} \right) = \frac{\dot{K}(t)}{A(t)L(t)} - \left(g + \frac{\dot{L}(t)}{L(t)} \right) k(t).$$

If the labor growth rate L(t)/L(t) is given exogenously and equals a constant n, then the equations above yield $\dot{k}(t) = sk(t)^{\alpha} - (\delta + g + n)k(t)$. This is known as the fundamental differential equation of the augmented version of the Solow-Swan model. If instead we relax the assumption that the labor growth rate is constant, and assume that it has the form of the generalized logistic equation, that is $\dot{L}(t)/L(t) = a - bL(t)^{\beta}$, where $\beta > 0$, a > b > 0, L(0) = 1, we obtain the so-called improved labor augmented Solow-Swan model. The dynamic of per capita capital of this model is described by the following first-order nonlinear differential equation

(2.3)
$$\dot{k}(t) = sk(t)^{\alpha} - \left(\delta + g + \frac{\dot{L}(t)}{L(t)}\right)k(t).$$

Theorem 1. Let k(t) be the solution of (2.3). Then

(2.4)
$$k(t) = \frac{e^{-(\delta+g)t}}{L(t)} \left(k(0)^{1-\alpha} + (1-\alpha)s \int_0^t e^{(1-\alpha)(\delta+g)u} L(u)^{1-\alpha} du \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}.$$

On the closed-form solution of the improved labor augmented Solow-Swan model103

Proof. Equation (2.3) is a Bernoulli type differential equation. Its solution is known to be found by taking the substitution $z = k^{1-\alpha}$. This yields a linear differential equation in z,

$$\dot{z} = (1 - \alpha)s - (1 - \alpha)\left(\delta + g + \frac{\dot{L}(t)}{L(t)}\right)z,$$

which is solved by

$$z(t) = e^{-\int_0^t (1-\alpha) \, \delta + g + \frac{\dot{L}(u)}{L(u)} \, du} \left(z(0) + \int_0^t (1-\alpha)s \, e^{\int_0^u (1-\alpha) \, \delta + g + \frac{\dot{L}(v)}{L(v)} \, dv} du \right).$$

Since

$$e^{-(1-\alpha)\int_0^t \delta + g + \frac{L(u)}{L(u)} du} = e^{-(1-\alpha)[(\delta+g)u + \ln L(u)]_0^t} = e^{-(1-\alpha)(\delta+g)t}L(t)^{-(1-\alpha)},$$

we obtain

$$z(t) = e^{-(1-\alpha)(\delta+g)t}L(t)^{-(1-\alpha)} \left(z(0) + (1-\alpha)s \int_0^t e^{(1-\alpha)(\delta+g)u}L(u)^{1-\alpha}du \right).$$

The statement follows by rewriting this equation in terms of k.

3 The model solution expressed via the hypergeometric function $_2F_1$

The aim of this section is to write the model solution k(t) stated in Theorem 1 in terms of the hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1$. For this purpose, first we recall that ${}_2F_1$ has the integral representation (see Watson and Whittaker [8])

(3.5)
$$_{2}F_{1}(c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}; z) = \frac{\Gamma(c_{3})}{\Gamma(c_{1})\Gamma(c_{3} - c_{1})} \int_{0}^{1} t^{c_{1}-1} (1-t)^{c_{3}-c_{1}-1} (1-zt)^{-c_{2}} dt,$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ are such that $Re(c_1) > 0$, $Re(c_3 - c_1) > 0$, and Γ is the Euler gamma function. Next, we remind that the generalized logistic law $\dot{L}(t) = aL(t) - bL(t)^{1+\beta}$ is a Bernoulli type differential equation, and so its solution can be found to be given by

(3.6)
$$L(t) = e^{at} \left(1 - \frac{b}{a} + \frac{b}{a} e^{\beta at}\right)^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}.$$

This growth law is a generalization of the logistic equation as it can be seen by taking $\beta = 1$ in (3.6) (see Tsoularis [7] for an analysis of logistic growth models).

•

Theorem 2. Let $\gamma_1 = (1 - \alpha)(\delta + g + a) > 0$, $\gamma_2 = \beta a > 0$, $\gamma_3 = (1 - \alpha)/\beta > 0$, and B = b/(b - a) < 0. Let $_2F_1$ be the hypergeometric function. The solution k(t) of (2.3) writes in closed-form as

$$\begin{split} k(t) &= e^{-(\delta+g)t} L(t)^{-1} \left\{ k(0)^{1-\alpha} + (1-\alpha)s\left(1-\frac{b}{a}\right)^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{\beta}} \frac{1}{\gamma_1} \cdot \\ & \cdot \left[e^{\gamma_1 t} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2}, \gamma_3, 1+\frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2}; Be^{\gamma_2 t}\right) - {}_2F_1\left(\frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2}, \gamma_3, 1+\frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2}; B\right) \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \end{split}$$

Proof. The statement will follow by writing the integral in the statement of Theorem 1 in terms of the function $_2F_1$. Let start replacing L(t) with its expression written in (3.6). This yields

$$\int_0^t e^{(1-\alpha)(\delta+g)u} L(u)^{1-\alpha} du$$

$$= \int_0^t e^{(1-\alpha)(\delta+g)u} e^{(1-\alpha)au} \left(1 - \frac{b}{a} + \frac{b}{a} e^{\beta au}\right)^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{\beta}} du,$$
$$= \left(1 - \frac{b}{a}\right)^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{\beta}} \int_0^t e^{(1-\alpha)(\delta+g+a)u} \left(1 - \frac{b}{b-a} e^{\beta au}\right)^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{\beta}} du.$$

Operating the change of variable $x = e^{\gamma_2 u}$, and using the definition of γ_1 , γ_2 , γ_3 and B, the above integral writes

$$\int_{0}^{t} e^{(1-\alpha)(\delta+g+a)u} \left(1 - \frac{b}{b-a} e^{\beta au}\right)^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{\beta}} du = \int_{0}^{t} e^{\gamma_{1}u} \left(1 - Be^{\gamma_{2}u}\right)^{-\gamma_{3}} du,$$
$$= \frac{1}{\gamma_{2}} \int_{1}^{e^{\gamma_{2}t}} x^{\frac{\gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{2}} - 1} \left(1 - Bx\right)^{-\gamma_{3}} dx,$$

(3.7)
$$= \frac{1}{\gamma_2} \left(\int_0^{e^{\gamma_2 t}} x^{\frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2} - 1} \left(1 - Bx \right)^{-\gamma_3} dx - \int_0^1 x^{\frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2} - 1} \left(1 - Bx \right)^{-\gamma_3} dx \right).$$

As $\gamma_1/\gamma_2 > 0$, the integrals in (3.7) are convergent since

$$\int_0^v x^{\frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2} - 1} (1 - Bx)^{-\gamma_3} dx \sim \int_0^v x^{\frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2} - 1} dx, \text{ for } v \ge 1.$$

Setting $r = e^{-\gamma_2 t} x$, by (3.5) the first integral in (3.7) writes

$$\int_{0}^{e^{\gamma_{2}t}} x^{\frac{\gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{2}}-1} (1-Bx)^{-\gamma_{3}} dx = e^{\gamma_{1}t} \int_{0}^{1} r^{\frac{\gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{2}}-1} \left(1-Be^{\gamma_{2}t}r\right)^{-\gamma_{3}} (1-r)^{0} dr$$
$$= e^{\gamma_{1}t} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{2}}\right)\Gamma(1)}{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{\gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{2}}\right)} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\frac{\gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{2}},\gamma_{3},1+\frac{\gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{2}};Be^{\gamma_{2}t}\right).$$

Since $\Gamma(1) = 1$ and $\Gamma(v+1) = v \Gamma(v)$, for any v > 0, it follows

$$\int_{0}^{e^{\gamma_{2}t}} x^{\frac{\gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{2}}-1} \left(1-Bx\right)^{-\gamma_{3}} dx = e^{\gamma_{1}t} \frac{\gamma_{2}}{\gamma_{1}} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\frac{\gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{2}}, \gamma_{3}, 1+\frac{\gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{2}}; Be^{\gamma_{2}t}\right).$$

Using again (3.5), the second integral in (3.7) becomes

$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{\frac{\gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{2}}-1} (1-Bx)^{-\gamma_{3}} dx = \int_{0}^{1} x^{\frac{\gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{2}}-1} (1-Bx)^{-\gamma_{3}} (1-x)^{0} dx,$$
$$= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{2}}\right) \Gamma(1)}{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{\gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{2}}\right)} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\frac{\gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{2}}, \gamma_{3}, 1+\frac{\gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{2}}; B\right),$$

Hence

$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{\frac{\gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{2}}-1} \left(1-Bx\right)^{-\gamma_{3}} dx = \frac{\gamma_{2}}{\gamma_{1}} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\frac{\gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{2}}, \gamma_{3}, 1+\frac{\gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{2}}; B\right).$$

In conclusion, we have found that

$$\int_0^t e^{(1-\alpha)(\delta+g)u} L(u)^{1-\alpha} du = \left(1-\frac{b}{a}\right)^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{\gamma}} \frac{1}{\gamma_1} \cdot \left[e^{\gamma_1 t} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2}, \gamma_3, 1+\frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2}; Be^{\gamma_2 t}\right) - {}_2F_1\left(\frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2}, \gamma_3, 1+\frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2}; B\right)\right].$$

The statement now follows immediately from Theorem 1.

References

- Cai, D., An improved Solow-Swan model, Chinese Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, vol. 13 (1998), no. 2, 72 - 78.
- [2] Domar, E. D., Capital expansion, rate of growth and employment, Econometrica, vol. 14 (1946), 137 147.
- [3] Harrod, R. F., An essay in dynamic theory, Economic Journal, vol. XLIX (1939), 14-33.

- [4] Mingari Scarpello, G., Ritelli, D., The Solow model improved through the logistic manpower growth law, Annali Università di Ferrara, Sez. VII - Scienze Matematiche, vol. IL (2003), 73 - 83.
- [5] Solow, R. M., A contribution to the theory of economic growth, Quarterly Journal of Economics, vol. 70 (1956), no. 1, 65 - 94.
- [6] Swan, T. W., Economic growth and capital accumulation, Economic Record, vol. 32 (1956), no. 63, 334 361.
- [7] Tsoularis, A., Analysis of logistic growth models, Research Letters in the Information and Mathematical Sciences, vol. 2 (2001), 23 – 46.
- [8] Watson, G. N., Whittaker, E. T., A course of modern analysis, Cambridge University Press, London and New York, 1927.

Authors' addresses:

Clara Germanà Università di Bologna Facoltà di Economia del Polo di Rimini Via Angherà 22, 47900 - Rimini, Italy email: cgermana@rimini.unibo.it

Luca Guerrini Università di Bologna Dipartimento di Matematica per le Scienze Economiche e Sociali Viale Filopanti 5, 40126 - Bologna, Italy email: guerrini@rimini.unibo.it