

# A basic algebraic proof of a theorem of Milnor

Z. Chen and M. Liu

**Abstract.** Milnor has classified the flat metrics on Lie groups. In this paper, we give a basis algebraic proof of Milnor's classification.

**M.S.C. 2010:** 17B60, 53C30, 81R05.

**Key words:** Left invariant metric; Flat metric; Affine structure; Left-symmetric algebra.

## 1 Introduction

In [9], Milnor studied the curvatures of left invariant metrics on Lie groups. For a Lie group  $G$  with a left invariant Riemannian metric  $g$ , Milnor considered the left invariant Riemannian connection  $\nabla$  associated to  $g$ , i.e.,  $\nabla$  satisfies the "symmetry" condition (torsion free)

$$(1.1) \quad \nabla_x y - \nabla_y x = [x, y], \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g},$$

and the compatibility condition (the parallel translation perseveres the metric  $g$  ([10]))

$$(1.2) \quad g(\nabla_x y, z) + g(y, \nabla_x z) = 0, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g},$$

and in addition, the flatness of the connection  $\nabla$  or the metric  $g$  corresponds to

$$(1.3) \quad R_{xyz} = \nabla_{[x,y]}z - \nabla_x \nabla_y z + \nabla_y \nabla_x z = 0, \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g},$$

that is, the Riemannian curvature tensor  $R$  is zero. In particular, Milnor gave the classification of the flat metrics (see Theorem 1.5 in [9]).

On the other hand, one can try to find a left invariant Riemannian metric  $g$  associated to a left invariant connection  $\nabla$  satisfying Eqs. (1.1) and (1.3) such that the compatibility (1.2) holds. In fact, the manifolds (not necessarily Riemannian) or Lie groups with a connection  $\nabla$  satisfying Eqs. (1.1) and (1.3) have already been studied independently. Such structures are called affine manifolds or the affine structures on Lie groups ([2, 6, 7, 8] etc.). Like most of the geometric structures on Lie groups, the study of left invariant affine structures on a Lie group can be given through the corresponding structures on its Lie algebra. The left-invariant affine structures on a Lie group  $G$  bijectively correspond to the left-symmetric algebra structures on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$  and the correspondence is given by

$$(1.4) \quad \nabla_x y = xy, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Left-symmetric algebras also appear in many other fields in mathematics and mathematical physics (see [4] and the references therein). Thus it is natural to study the left invariant Riemannian metrics on a Lie group  $G$  by considering the left invariant affine structures on  $G$  with a compatible Riemannian metric in terms of their corresponding left-symmetric algebras. By this view, there is a new proof of Milnor's classification theorem in [5].

But the proof of proposition 3.4 in [5] (i.e. Theorem 3.5 in this paper) is based on the study on affine representations in [3]. In this paper, we give a basic algebraic proof of Theorem 3.5, hence a basic algebraic proof of Milnor's classification theorem.

## 2 Preliminaries on left-symmetric algebras

For self-contained, we recall some basic facts on left-symmetric algebras (cf. [6, 8], etc.).

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $A$  be a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  with a bilinear product  $(x, y) \mapsto xy$ . Then  $A$  is called a left-symmetric algebra if for any  $x, y, z \in A$ , the associator

$$(2.1) \quad (x, y, z) = (xy)z - x(yz)$$

is symmetric in  $x, y$ , that is,

$$(2.2) \quad (x, y, z) = (y, x, z), \text{ or equivalently } (xy)z - x(yz) = (yx)z - y(xz).$$

Let  $A$  be a left-symmetric algebra. Then  $A$  is called *trivial* if all products are zero. For any  $x, y \in A$ , let  $L(x)$  and  $R(x)$  denote the left and right multiplication operator respectively, that is,  $L(x)(y) = xy$ ,  $R(x)(y) = yx$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $A$  be a left-symmetric algebra.

(1) The commutator

$$(2.3) \quad [x, y] = xy - yx, \quad \forall x, y \in A,$$

defines a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ , which is called the sub-adjacent Lie algebra of  $A$  and  $A$  is also called the compatible left-symmetric algebraic structure on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ .

(2) Let  $L : A \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(A)$  be a linear map defined by  $x \mapsto L(x)$  (for every  $x \in A$ ). Then  $L$  gives a regular representation of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ , that is,

$$(2.4) \quad [L(x), L(y)] = L([x, y]), \quad \forall x, y \in A.$$

**Proposition 2.2.** If a real or complex Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  has a compatible left-symmetric algebra structure, then  $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \neq \mathfrak{g}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $A$  be a left-symmetric algebra. A bilinear form  $f : A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  is called left invariant if  $f$  satisfies

$$(2.5) \quad f(xy, z) + f(y, xz) = 0, \quad \forall x, y, z \in A.$$

### 3 A basic algebraic proof of a theorem of Milnor

In this section, let  $A$  be a real left-symmetric algebra and  $f$  be a positive definite symmetric left invariant bilinear form on  $A$ . For any subspace  $V$  in  $A$ , set

$$(3.1) \quad V^\perp = \{x \in A \mid f(x, y) = 0, \forall y \in V\}.$$

Recall that the adjoint  $F^*$  of a linear transformation  $F$  on  $A$  with  $f$  is defined by the formula

$$(3.2) \quad f(F(x), y) = f(x, F^*(y)), \quad \forall x, y \in A.$$

The transformation  $F$  is self-adjoint if  $F^* = F$  and skew-adjoint if  $F^* = -F$ . So Eq. (2.5) is equivalent to the fact that  $L(x)$  is skew-adjoint for any  $x \in A$ . For any Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\text{adx}$  is the linear transformation given by  $\text{adx}(y) = [x, y]$  for any  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

**Lemma 3.1 ([5]).**  $[A, A]^\perp = \{x \in A \mid R(x) = R(x)^*\}$ .

*Proof.* For any  $x, y, z \in A$ ,  $x \in [A, A]^\perp$  if and only if  $f(x, [y, z]) = 0$ , if and only if  $f(x, yz) - f(x, zy) = 0$ , if and only if  $f(R(x)y, z) = f(R(x)z, y)$ , if and only if  $R(x) = R(x)^*$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2 ([5]).**  $[A, A]^\perp = \{x \in A \mid R(x) = 0\}$ .

*Proof.* For any  $x \in [A, A]^\perp$  and  $y \in A$ , by Lemma 3.1, we know that

$$f(xx, y) = f(R(x)x, y) = f(x, R(x)y) = f(x, yx) = 0.$$

Hence  $xx = 0$  due to the positive definiteness of  $f$ . For any  $x \in [A, A]^\perp$ ,  $R(x)$  is diagonalizable over the real number field  $\mathbb{R}$  since it is self-adjoint. Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  be an arbitrary eigenvalue of  $R(x)$  and  $y \in A$  be a non-zero eigenvector associated to  $\lambda$ . Since  $(xy)x - x(yx) = (yx)x - y(xx)$ , we know that  $(xy)x - \lambda xy = \lambda^2 y$ . Hence

$$\lambda^2 f(y, y) = f((xy)x - \lambda xy, y) = f((xy)x, y) = f(xy, yx) = \lambda f(xy, y) = 0.$$

Therefore  $\lambda = 0$  and then  $R(x) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3 ([5]).**  $AA = [A, A]$ .

*Proof.* In fact,  $x \in (AA)^\perp$  if and only if  $f(x, yz) = 0, \forall y, z \in A$ , if and only if  $f(yx, z) = 0, \forall y, z \in A$ , if and only if  $R(x)y = 0, \forall y \in A$ , if and only if  $R(x) = 0$ . Then by Lemma 3.2, we know that  $AA = [A, A]$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4 ([5]).** *Let  $H$  be a trivial subalgebra of  $A$  and let  $V$  be a subspace of  $A$  such that  $L(x)V \subseteq V$  for any  $x \in H$ . Then  $\{L(x)|_V\}_{x \in H}$  is a family of commutative linear transformations on  $V$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $x, y \in H, z \in V$ ,

$$L(x)(L(y)z) = x(yz) = x(yz) - (xy)z = y(xz) - (yx)z = y(xz) = L(y)(L(x)z)$$

since  $H$  is trivial. Therefore  $L(x)|_V L(y)|_V = L(y)|_V L(x)|_V$ .  $\square$

For any subalgebra  $V$  in  $A$ , we let  $C_R(V) = \{x \in V \mid R(x)|_V = 0\}$ . In particular,  $C_R(A) = [A, A]^\perp$  due to Lemma 3.2.

**Theorem 3.5.** *As left-symmetric algebras,  $[A, A]$  is a proper ideal of  $A$  with trivial products.*

*Proof.* Obviously,  $AA$  is an ideal of the left-symmetric algebra  $A$ . Then  $[A, A]$  is an ideal of  $A$  by Lemma 3.3. Moreover,  $A$  splits as an orthogonal direct sum

$$A = [A, A]^\perp \oplus [A, A] = C_R(A) \oplus [A, A].$$

By Proposition 2.3, we know that  $[A, A] \neq A$ . Hence  $C_R(A) \neq 0$ .

In order to prove that  $[A, A]$  is trivial, it is enough to show that  $C = [[A, A], [A, A]]$  is not trivial if  $[A, A]$  is not trivial. In fact, if  $[A, A]$  is not trivial, then both  $B = C_R([A, A])$  and  $C$  are not zero and there is an orthogonal direct sum  $[A, A] = B \oplus C$ . Moreover,  $C = [[A, A], [A, A]] = (AA)(AA)$  is an ideal of  $A$  since  $C$  is an ideal of  $[A, A]$  and for any  $x \in C_R(A), y, z \in AA$ , we have

$$x(yz) = (xy)z - (yx)z + y(xz) = (xy)z + y(xz) \in C.$$

If  $C$  is still not trivial, then by induction, there would be an infinite series of non-zero ideals  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$  of  $A$  such that

$$\dim A_1 (= [A, A]) > \dim A_2 (= C) > \dim A_3 > \cdots > \dim A_n > \dim A_{n+1} > \cdots,$$

which is obviously impossible since  $A$  is a finite-dimensional vector space.

Now assume that  $[A, A]$  is not trivial and  $C$  is trivial. For any  $x \in C_R(A), y \in B, z \in C$ ,

$$f(xy, z) = -f(y, xz) = 0.$$

Hence  $B$  is an invariant space of  $L(x)$  for any  $x \in C_R(A)$ . By Lemma 3.4, we know that  $L(x)|_B L(y)|_B = L(y)|_B L(x)|_B$  for any  $x, y \in C_R(A)$ . Obviously, there does not exist a non-zero  $y \in B$  such that  $L(x)y = 0$  for any  $x \in C_R(A)$ . Then there exists a basis  $\{u_1, \dots, u_{2n}\}$  of  $B$  (hence  $\dim B$  is even) such that  $f(u_i, u_j) = \delta_{ij}$  and for any  $x \in C_R(A)$ ,

$$L(x)u_{2i-1} = -\alpha_i(x)u_{2i}, L(x)u_{2i} = \alpha_i(x)u_{2i-1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

and for any  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ), there exists an element  $x \in C_R(A)$  such that  $\alpha_i(x) \neq 0$ .

Furthermore, by the assumption that  $C$  is trivial, there exists an element  $u_k \in B$  ( $1 \leq k \leq 2n$ ) such that  $L(u_k)|_C \neq 0$ . If  $k = 2l$ , then there exists an element  $x \in C_R(A)$  such that  $L(x)u_k = \alpha_l(x)u_{k-1}$  and  $\alpha_l(x) \neq 0$ . Let  $e_1 = -\frac{x}{\alpha_l(x)}, e_2 = u_k, e_3 = u_{k-1}$ , then  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  satisfies

$$f(e_2, e_2) = f(e_3, e_3) = 1, f(e_2, e_3) = 0, \quad e_1 e_2 = -e_3, e_1 e_3 = e_2, \quad L(e_2)|_C \neq 0.$$

If  $k = 2l - 1$ , then there exists an element  $x \in C_R(A)$  such that  $L(x)u_k = -\alpha_l(x)u_{k+1}$  and  $\alpha_l(x) \neq 0$ . Let  $e_1 = \frac{x}{\alpha_l(x)}, e_2 = u_k, e_3 = u_{k-1}$ , then  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  still satisfies

$$f(e_2, e_2) = f(e_3, e_3) = 1, f(e_2, e_3) = 0, \quad e_1 e_2 = -e_3, e_1 e_3 = e_2, \quad L(e_2)|_C \neq 0.$$

By Lemma 3.4 again,  $L(x)|_C L(y)|_C = L(y)|_C L(x)|_C$  for any  $x, y \in B$ . Similarly, there also exists a basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{2m}\}$  of  $C$  such that  $f(v_i, v_j) = \delta_{ij}$  and for any  $x \in B$ ,

$$L(x)v_{2i-1} = -\beta_i(x)v_{2i}, \quad L(x)v_{2i} = \beta_i(x)v_{2i-1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

and for any  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ), there exists an element  $x \in B$  such that  $\beta_i(x) \neq 0$ . Since  $L(e_2)|_C \neq 0$ , there exists  $j$  such that  $\beta_j(e_2) \neq 0$ . Because  $C$  is an ideal of  $A$ , we set

$$e_1 v_{2j-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{2m} \lambda_i v_i, \quad e_1 v_{2j} = \sum_{i=1}^{2m} \mu_i v_i.$$

Since  $f(e_1 v_{2j-1}, v_{2j-1}) = f(e_1 v_{2j}, v_{2j}) = 0$  and  $f(e_1 v_{2j-1}, v_{2j}) + f(e_1 v_{2j}, v_{2j-1}) = 0$ , we have

$$\lambda_{2j-1} = \mu_{2j} = 0, \quad \lambda_{2j} + \mu_{2j-1} = 0.$$

Set  $e'_1 = e_1 + \frac{\lambda_{2j}}{\beta_j(e_2)} e_2$ , then

$$e'_1 v_{2j-1} = \sum_{i \neq 2j-1, 2j} \lambda_i v_i, \quad e'_1 v_{2j} = \sum_{i \neq 2j-1, 2j} \mu_i v_i.$$

Let  $H$  be the vector space linearly spanned by  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{2j-2}, v_{2j+1}, \dots, v_{2m}\}$ . Then  $e'_1 v_{2j-1} \in H, e'_1 v_{2j} \in H$ . Hence

$$-\beta_j(e_2)v_{2j} = (e'_1 e_3)v_{2j-1} = e'_1(e_3 v_{2j-1}) + (e_3 e'_1)v_{2j-1} - e_3(e'_1 v_{2j-1}) \in H,$$

which is a contradiction.  $\square$

It is clear that  $\dim[A, A]$  is even from the proof of Theorem 3.5. From the above discussion, we have the following structure theory.

**Theorem 3.6 ([5]).** *A left-symmetric algebra  $A$  has a positive definite symmetric left invariant bilinear form if and only if  $A$  splits as an orthogonal direct sum  $A = [A, A] \oplus C_R(A)$ , where  $C_R(A)$  is a non-zero trivial subalgebra,  $[A, A]$  is a trivial ideal with even dimension, and where the linear transformations  $R(x) = 0$  and  $L(x)$  is skew-adjoint for any  $x \in C_R(A)$ .*

Let  $\text{Ann}(A) = \{x \in A | xy = yx = 0, \forall y \in A\}$  be the annihilator of  $A$ . Obviously,  $\text{Ann}(A)$  is an ideal of  $A$  and  $\text{Ann}(A) \subseteq C_R(A)$ . Set  $\mathfrak{b} = \{x \in C_R(A) | f(x, y) = 0, \forall y \in \text{Ann}(A)\}$ . Therefore  $C_R(A)$  splits as an orthogonal direct sum  $C_R(A) = \mathfrak{b} \oplus \text{Ann}(A)$ .

Consider the corresponding structure of the sub-adjacent Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of the left-symmetric algebra  $A$ , and set  $\mathfrak{u} = \text{Ann}(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ . Then the Milnor's classification is obtained immediately.

**Theorem 3.7 ([9], Theorem 1.5).** *A Lie group  $G$  with left invariant metric is flat if and only if the associated Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  splits as an orthogonal direct sum  $\mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$ , where  $\mathfrak{b}$  is a commutative subalgebra,  $\mathfrak{u}$  is a commutative ideal, and where the linear transformation  $\text{adb}$  is skew-adjoint for any  $b \in \mathfrak{b}$ . Furthermore, if these conditions are satisfied, then*

$$(3.3) \quad \nabla_{\mathfrak{u}} = 0, \nabla_{\mathfrak{b}} = \text{ad}(b), \forall u \in \mathfrak{u}, b \in \mathfrak{b}.$$

**Acknowledgements.** This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11001133). We would like to express our thanks to Prof. Chengming Bai for the helpful suggestions and discussion.

## References

- [1] A. Aubert, A. Medina, *Pseudo-Riemannian Lie groups* (in French), Tohoku Math. J. 55 (2003), 487-506.
- [2] L. Auslander, *Simply transitive groups of affine motions*, Amer. J. Math. 99 (1977), 809-826.
- [3] O. Baues, *Prehomogeneous affine representations and flat pseudo-Riemannian manifolds*, in: V. Cortes (Ed.), Handbook of pseudo-Riemannian Geometry and Supersymmetry, in: IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys., vol. 16, Euro. Math. Soc., Zurich, 2010, 731-820.
- [4] D. Burde, *Left-symmetric algebras, or pre-Lie algebras in geometry and physics*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. 4 (2006), 323-357.
- [5] Z. Chen, D. Hou, C. Bai, *A left-symmetric algebraic approach to left invariant flat (pseudo-)metrics on Lie groups*, J. Geom. Phys. 62 (2012), 1600-1610.
- [6] H. Kim, *Complete left-invariant affine structures on nilpotent Lie groups*, J. Diff. Geom. 24 (1986), 373-394.
- [7] J.-L. Koszul, *Homogeneous bounded domains and orbits of affine transformation groups* (in French), Bull. Soc. Math. France 89 (1961), 515-533.
- [8] A. Medina, *Flat left-invariant connections adapted to the automorphism structure of a Lie group*, J. Diff. Geom. 16 (1981), 445-474.
- [9] J. Milnor, *Curvatures of left invariant metrics on Lie groups*, Adv. Math. 21 (1976), 293-329.
- [10] A.A. Sagle, *Nonassociative algebras and Lagrangian mechanics on homogeneous spaces*, Algebras, Groups Geom. 2 (1985), 478-494.

*Authors' address:*

Zhiqi Chen *and* Mengying Liu  
School of Mathematical Sciences and LPMC, Nankai University,  
Tianjin 300071, P.R. China.  
E-mail: chenzhiqi@nankai.edu.cn