## On a type of quarter-symmetric non-metric $\xi$ -connection on 3-dimensional quasi-Sasakian manifolds

Ajit Barman and Uday Chand De

Abstract. The object of the present paper is to study a type of quarter-symmetric non-metric  $\xi$ -connection on a 3-dimensional non-cosymplectic quasi-Sasakian manifold. We investigate the curvature tensor and the Ricci tensor of a 3-dimensional quasi-Sasakian manifold with respect to the quarter-symmetric non-metric  $\xi$ -connection. We characterize  $\xi$ -projectively flat and  $\phi$ -projectively flat 3-dimensional quasi-Sasakian manifolds with constant structure function admitting the quarter-symmetric non-metric  $\xi$ -connection. Also we study second order parallel tensor and Ricci semi-symmetric 3-dimensional quasi-Sasakian manifolds with constant structure function with respect to the quarter-symmetric non-metric  $\xi$ -connection. Finally, we give an example to verify our result.

**M.S.C. 2010**: 53C15, 53C25.

Key words: 3-dimensional quasi-Sasakian manifold; quarter-symmetric non-metric  $\xi$ -connection; projective curvature tensor;  $\xi$ -projectively flat;  $\phi$ -projectively flat; Ricci semi-symmetric;  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold.

#### 1 Introduction

On a 3-dimensional quasi-Sasakian manifold, the structure function  $\beta$  was defined by Olszak [21] and with the help of this function he has obtained necessary and sufficient conditions for the manifold to be conformally flat [22]. Next he has proved that if the manifold is additionally conformally flat with  $\beta = \text{constant}$ , then (a) the manifold is locally a product of  $\mathbb{R}$  and a 2-dimensional Kählerian space of constant Gauss curvature (the cosymplectic case), or, (b) the manifold is of constant positive curvature (the non-cosymplectic case, here the quasi-Sasakian structure is homothetic to a Sasakian structure). An example of a 3-dimensional quasi-Sasakian structure being conformally flat with non-constant structure function is also described in [22].

In 1924, Friedmann and Schouten [13] introduced the idea of semi-symmetric connection on a differentiable manifold. A linear connection  $\widetilde{\nabla}$  on a differentiable

Differential Geometry - Dynamical Systems, Vol.22, 2020, pp. 26-41.

<sup>©</sup> Balkan Society of Geometers, Geometry Balkan Press 2020.

manifold M is said to be a semi-symmetric connection if the torsion tensor T of the connection  $\widetilde{\nabla}$  satisfies

$$(1.1) T(X,Y) = u(Y)X - u(X)Y,$$

where u is a 1-form.  $\chi(M)$  is the set of all differentiable vector fields on M, for all vector fields  $X, Y \in \chi(M)$ .

In 1932, Hayden [15] introduced the idea of semi-symmetric metric connection on a Riemannian manifold (M,g). A semi-symmetric connection  $\widetilde{\nabla}$  is said to be a semi-symmetric metric connection if

$$(1.2) \widetilde{\nabla} g = 0.$$

A relation between a semi-symmetric metric connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$  and the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$  of (M,g) is given by Yano [26]:  $\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + u(Y)X - g(X,Y)\rho_1$ , where  $u(X) = g(X,\rho_1)$ 

The study of semi-symmetric metric connections were further developed by Amur and Pujar [1], Binh [9], De [10], Singh et al. [24], Ozgur et al ([18],[19]), Ozen, Uysal Demirbag [20], Zhao ([27, 28]) and many others. After a long gap the study of a semi-symmetric connection  $\nabla$  satisfying

$$(1.3) \bar{\nabla}g \neq 0.$$

was initiated by Prvanović [23] with the name of pseudo-metric semi-symmetric connection and was just followed by Andonie [2].

A semi-symmetric connection  $\nabla$  is said to be a semi-symmetric non-metric connection if it satisfies the condition (1.3).

In 1975, Golab [14] defined and studied quarter-symmetric connections in differentiable manifolds with affine connections. A linear connection  $\bar{\nabla}$  on a Riemannian manifold M is called a quarter-symmetric connection [14] if its torsion tensor T satisfies  $T(X,Y) = \eta(Y)\phi X - \eta(X)\phi Y$ , where  $\eta$  is a 1-form and  $\phi$  is a (1,1)-tensor field. In particular, if  $\phi X = X$ , then the quarter-symmetric connection reduces to the semi-symmetric connection [13]. Thus the notion of quarter-symmetric connection generalizes the notion of semi-symmetric connection.

A quarter-symmetric connection  $\nabla$  is said to be a quarter-symmetric metric connection if  $\nabla g = 0$ . If moreover, a quarter-symmetric connection  $\nabla$  satisfies the condition  $(\nabla X g)(Y, Z) \neq 0$ ; for all  $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$ , then  $\nabla$  is said to be a quarter-symmetric non-metric connection.

The quarter-symmetric non-metric connections have been studied by Mishra and Pandey [16], Singh and Pandey [25], De and Mondal [12], Barman ( [4], [3], [5]) Mondal and De [17] and many others.

In this paper we study 3-dimensional quasi-Sasakian manifolds with respect to a type of quarter-symmetric non-metric  $\xi$ -connection.

The paper is organized as follows:

After introduction, in section 2, we give a brief account of the 3-dimensional quasi-Sasakian manifolds. In section 3, we define a type of quarter-symmetric non-metric  $\xi$ -connection on 3-dimensional quasi-Sasakian manifolds. Section 4 is devoted to establish the relation between the curvature tensors with respect to a type of quarter-symmetric non-metric  $\xi$ -connection and the Levi-Civita connection. Next we study

the projective curvature tensor with respect to the type of quarter-symmetric nonmetric  $\xi$ -connection. Among others we characterize  $\phi$ -projectively flat 3-dimensional quasi-Sasakian manifolds with constant structure function admitting a type of quartersymmetric non-metric  $\xi$ -connection. Also we study a second order parallel tensor on a Ricci semi-symmetric 3-dimensional quasi-Sasakian manifolds with constant structure function with respect to a type of quarter-symmetric non-metric  $\xi$ -connection. Finally, we give an example to verify our result.

### 2 Preliminaries

Let M be a (2n+1)-dimensional connected differentiable manifold endowed with an almost contact metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ , where  $\phi$  is a tensor field of type (1, 1),  $\xi$  is a vector field,  $\eta$  is a 1-form and g is the Riemannian metric on M such that ([6], [7])

$$\phi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi,$$

$$(2.2) g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

(2.3) 
$$\phi \xi = 0, \quad \eta(\phi X) = 0, \quad \eta(X) = g(X, \xi), \quad \eta(\xi) = 1.$$

Let  $\Phi$  be the fundamental 2-form defined by

(2.4) 
$$\Phi(X,Y) = g(X,\phi Y) = -g(\phi X, Y).$$

M is said to be quasi-Sasakian if the almost contact structure  $(\phi, \eta, \xi, g)$  is normal and the fundamental 2-form  $\Phi$  is closed  $(d\Phi=0)$ , which was first introduced by Blair [8]. The normality condition gives that the induced almost contact structure of  $M\otimes\mathbb{R}$  is integrable or equivalently, the torsion tensor field  $N=[\phi,\phi]+2\xi\otimes d\eta$  vanishes identically on M. The rank of the quasi-Sasakian structure is always odd [8], it is equal to 1 if the structure is cosymplectic and it is equal to 2n+1 if the structure is Sasakian.

An almost contact metric manifold M is a 3-dimensional quasi-Sasakian manifold if and only if [21]

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\beta \phi X, X \in \chi(M),$$

for a certain function  $\beta$  on M, such that  $\xi\beta=0$ ,  $\nabla$  being the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of M. Clearly such a quasi-Sasakian manifold is cosymplectic if and only if  $\beta=0$ . From the equation (2.5) we obtain [21]

(2.6) 
$$(\nabla_X \phi)(Y) = \beta(g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X),$$

$$(2.7) \qquad (\nabla_X \eta)(Y) = q(\nabla_X \xi, Y) = -\beta q(\phi X, Y) = \beta q(X, \phi Y).$$

Let M be a 3-dimensional quasi-Sasakian manifold. The Ricci tensor S of M is given by [22]

$$S(Y,Z) = (\frac{r}{2} - \beta^2)g(Y,Z) + (3\beta^2 - \frac{r}{2})\eta(Y)\eta(Z) - \eta(Y)d\beta(\phi Z)$$

$$(2.8)$$

$$-\eta(Z)d\beta(\phi Y),$$

where r is the scalar curvature of M.

In a 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold we always have

$$R(X,Y)Z = g(Y,Z)QX - g(X,Z)QY + S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y$$
$$-\frac{r}{2}(g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y),$$

where Q is the Ricci operator, i.e., g(QX,Y) = S(X,Y). Now as a consequence of (2.8), we get for the Ricci operator Q

$$QY=(\frac{r}{2}-\beta^2)Y+(3\beta^2-\frac{r}{2})\eta(Y)\xi+\eta(Y)(\phi grad\beta)-d\beta(\phi Y)\xi,$$

where the gradient of a function f is related to the exterior derivative df by the formula df(X) = g(gradf, X).

Also from (2.8) it follows that

$$(2.9) S(\phi Y, \phi Z) = S(Y, Z) - 2\beta^2 \eta(Y) \eta(Z).$$

### 3 Quarter-symmetric non-metric $\xi$ -connection on 3-dimensional quasi-Sasakian manifolds

This section deals with the quarter-symmetric non-metric  $\xi$ -connection on 3-dimensional non-cosymplectic quasi-Sasakian manifold. Let (M,g) be a quasi-Sasakian manifold with the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$  and we define a linear connection  $\bar{\nabla}$  on M by

(3.1) 
$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + (\beta - 1)\eta(X)\phi Y + \beta\eta(Y)\phi X,$$

where  $\beta$  is a certain function on M.

Using (3.1), the torsion tensor T of the connection  $\bar{\nabla}$  is given by

(3.2) 
$$T(X,Y) = \bar{\nabla}_X Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y X - [X,Y] = \eta(Y)\phi X - \eta(X)\phi Y,$$

Thus the linear connection  $\bar{\nabla}$  is a quarter-symmetric connection. So the equation (3.1) with the help of (2.4) turns into

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X g)(Y, Z) = \bar{\nabla}_X g(Y, Z) - g(\bar{\nabla}_X Y, Z) - g(Y, \bar{\nabla}_X Z) = -\beta \eta(Y) g(\phi X, Z)$$

$$(3.3) \qquad -\beta \eta(Z) g(Y, \phi X) \neq 0.$$

The linear connection  $\nabla$  satisfying (3.2) and (3.3) is called a quarter-symmetric non-metric connection on 3-dimensional non-cosymplectic quasi-Sasakian manifold.

By making use of (2.3), (2.5) and (3.1), it is obvious that

(3.4) 
$$\bar{\nabla}_X \xi = \nabla_X \xi + (\beta - 1)\eta(X)\phi \xi + \beta \eta(\xi)\phi X = 0.$$

The linear connection  $\bar{\nabla}$  defined by (3.1) satisfying (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4) is the quarter-symmetric non-metric  $\xi$ -connection on 3-dimensional quasi-Sasakian manifold.

Conversely, we show that a linear connection  $\bar{\nabla}$  defined on M satisfying (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4) is given by (3.1). Let H be a tensor field of type (1,2) and

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + H(X, Y).$$

Then we conclude that

(3.6) 
$$T(X,Y) = H(X,Y) - H(Y,X).$$

Further using (3.5), it follows that

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X g)(Y, Z) = \bar{\nabla}_X g(Y, Z) - g(\bar{\nabla}_X Y, Z) - g(Y, \bar{\nabla}_X Z) = -g(H(X, Y), Z)$$
(3.7)
$$-g(Y, H(X, Z)).$$

In view of (3.3) and (3.7) yields

$$g(H(X,Y),Z) + g(Y,H(X,Z)) = \beta \eta(Y)g(\phi X,Z) + \beta \eta(Z)g(Y,\phi X).$$
(3.8)

Also using (3.8) and (3.6), we derive that

$$\begin{split} g(T(X,Y),Z) + g(T(Z,X),Y) + g(T(Z,Y),X) &= 2g(H(X,Y),Z) \\ + 2\beta\eta(X)g(Y,\phi Z) + 2\beta\eta(Y)g(X,\phi Z). \end{split}$$

The above equation yields

$$g(H(X,Y),Z) = \frac{1}{2}[g(T(X,Y),Z) + g(T(Z,X),Y) + g(T(Z,Y),X)]$$

$$-2\beta\eta(X)g(Y,\phi Z) - 2\beta\eta(Y)g(X,\phi Z).$$
(3.9)

Let T' be a tensor field of type (1,2) given by

(3.10) 
$$g(T'(X,Y),Z) = g(T(Z,X),Y).$$

Adding (2.4), (3.2) and (3.10), we obtain

(3.11) 
$$T'(X,Y) = -\eta(X)\phi Y - g(\phi X, Y)\xi.$$

From (3.9) we have, by using (3.10) and (3.11):

$$g(H(X,Y),Z) = \frac{1}{2} [g(T(X,Y),Z) + g(T'(X,Y),Z) + g(T'(Y,X),Z)]$$

$$-2\beta\eta(X)g(Y,\phi Z) - 2\beta\eta(Y)g(X,\phi Z) = (\beta - 1)\eta(X)g(\phi Y,Z)$$

$$+\beta\eta(Y)g(\phi X,Z).$$
(3.12)

Now contracting Z in (3.12) and using (2.4), implies that

(3.13) 
$$H(X,Y) = (\beta - 1)\eta(X)\phi Y + \beta \eta(Y)\phi X.$$

Combining (3.5) and (3.13), it follows that

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + (\beta - 1)\eta(X)\phi Y + \beta\eta(Y)\phi X.$$

Now, we are in a position to state the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.1.** On a 3-dimensional non-cosymplectic quasi-Sasakian manifold with structure function  $\beta$  there exists a unique linear connection  $\bar{\nabla}$ , satisfies (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4).

If  $\beta = 0$  in the equation (3.1), then we get

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y - \eta(X) \phi Y.$$

Hence,  $\bar{\nabla}$  defines a quarter-symmetric metric connection. Such a case has been studied by De and Mandal [11].

### 4 Curvature tensor of 3-dimensional quasi-Sasakian manifolds admitting quarter-symmetric non-metric $\xi$ -connection

In this section, we obtain the expressions of the curvature tensor and Ricci tensor of M with respect to the quarter-symmetric non-metric  $\xi$ -connection on a 3-dimensional non-cosymplectic quasi-Sasakian manifold defined by (3.1).

Analogous to the definition of the curvature tensor of M with respect to the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$ , we define the curvature tensor  $\bar{R}$  of M with respect to the quarter-symmetric non-metric  $\mathcal{E}$ -connection  $\bar{\nabla}$  by

(4.1) 
$$\bar{R}(X,Y)Z = \bar{\nabla}_X \bar{\nabla}_Y Z - \bar{\nabla}_Y \bar{\nabla}_X Z - \bar{\nabla}_{[X,Y]} Z,$$

where  $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$ .

Using (2.3) and (3.1) in (4.1), we obtain

$$\bar{R}(X,Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z - (\beta - 1)\eta(X)(\nabla_Y\phi)(Z) + (\beta - 1)\eta(Y)(\nabla_X\phi)(Z)$$

$$-\beta(\nabla_Y\eta)(Z)\phi X + \beta(\nabla_X\eta)(Z)\phi Y - (\beta - 1)(\nabla_Y\eta)(X)\phi Z$$

$$+(\beta - 1)(\nabla_X\eta)(Y)\phi Z - \beta\eta(Z)(\nabla_Y\phi)(X) + \beta\eta(Z)(\nabla_X\phi)(Y)$$

$$-\beta(\beta - 1)\eta(X)\eta(Z)Y + \beta(\beta - 1)\eta(Y)\eta(Z)X + (X(\beta - 1))\eta(Y)\phi Z$$

$$+(X\beta)\eta(Z)\phi Y - (Y(\beta - 1))\eta(X)\phi Z - (Y\beta)\eta(Z)\phi X.$$

$$(4.2)$$

By making use of (2.3), (2.6) and (2.7) in (4.2), we have

$$\bar{R}(X,Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z + \beta^{2}g(\phi Y,Z)\phi X - \beta^{2}g(\phi X,Z)\phi Y +2\beta(\beta-1)g(\phi Y,X)\phi Z + \beta(\beta-1)\eta(Y)g(X,Z)\xi -\beta(\beta-1)\eta(X)g(Y,Z)\xi - \beta^{2}\eta(Y)\eta(Z)X +\beta^{2}\eta(X)\eta(Z)Y + (X(\beta-1))\eta(Y)\phi Z +(X\beta)\eta(Z)\phi Y - (Y(\beta-1))\eta(X)\phi Z - (Y\beta)\eta(Z)\phi X.$$
(4.3)

So the equation (4.3) turns into

$$\bar{R}(X,Y)Z = -\bar{R}(Y,X)Z.$$

Moreover in view of (4.3) it follows that

$$\bar{R}(X,Y)Z + \bar{R}(Y,Z)X + \bar{R}(Z,X)Y = 0.$$

Let  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  be a local orthonormal basis of the tangent space at a point of the manifold M. Then by putting  $X = U = e_i$  in (4.3) and taking summation over i,  $1 \le i \le 3$  and also using (2.3), we get

$$\bar{S}(Y,Z) = S(Y,Z) + \beta(2\beta - 1)g(Y,Z) - \beta(4\beta - 1)\eta(Y)\eta(Z) + (\phi Z)(\beta)\eta(Y) + (\phi Y)(\beta)\eta(Z),$$
(4.4)

where  $\bar{S}$  and S denote the Ricci tensor of M with respect to  $\bar{\nabla}$  and  $\nabla$  respectively. Again contracting Y and Z in the above equation (4.4) we have

$$\bar{r} = r - 2\beta + 2\beta^2.$$

Summing up all of the above equations we can state the following proposition:

**Proposition 4.1.** In a 3-dimensional quasi-Sasakian manifold M admitting the quarter-symmetric non-metric  $\xi$ -connection  $\bar{\nabla}$ :

(i) The curvature tensor  $\bar{R}$  is given by  $\bar{R}(X,Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z + \beta^2 g(\phi Y,Z)\phi X - \beta^2 g(\phi X,Z)\phi Y + 2\beta(\beta-1)g(\phi Y,X)\phi Z + \beta(\beta-1)\eta(Y)g(X,Z)\xi - \beta(\beta-1)\eta(X)g(Y,Z)\xi - \beta^2\eta(Y)\eta(Z)X + \beta^2\eta(X)\eta(Z)Y + (X(\beta-1))\eta(Y)\phi Z + (X\beta)\eta(Z)\phi Y - (Y(\beta-1))\eta(X)\phi Z - (Y\beta)\eta(Z)\phi X,$ 

(ii) The Ricci tensor 
$$\bar{S}$$
 is given by  $\bar{S}(Y,Z) = S(Y,Z) + \beta(2\beta-1)g(Y,Z) - \beta(4\beta-1)\eta(Y)\eta(Z) + (\phi Z)(\beta)\eta(Y) + (\phi Y)(\beta)\eta(Z)$ ,

(iii) 
$$\bar{R}(X,Y)Z = -\bar{R}(Y,X)Z$$
,

(iv) 
$$\bar{R}(X,Y)Z + \bar{R}(Y,Z)X + \bar{R}(Z,X)Y = 0$$
,

(v) 
$$\bar{r} = r - 2\beta + 2\beta^2$$
.

Moreover, if the structure function  $\beta$  is constant, then we conclude the following:

Corollary 4.2. In a 3-dimensional non-cosymplectic quasi-Sasakian manifold M with constant structure function admitting the quarter-symmetric non-metric  $\xi$ -connection  $\bar{\nabla}$ :

(i) The curvature tensor 
$$\bar{R}$$
 is given by  $\bar{R}(X,Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z + \beta^2 g(\phi Y,Z)\phi X - \beta^2 g(\phi X,Z)\phi Y + 2\beta(\beta-1)g(\phi Y,X)\phi Z + \beta(\beta-1)\eta(Y)g(X,Z)\xi - \beta(\beta-1)\eta(X)g(Y,Z)\xi - \beta^2\eta(Y)\eta(Z)X + \beta^2\eta(X)\eta(Z)Y$ ,

(ii) The Ricci tensor 
$$\bar{S}$$
 is given by  $\bar{S}(Y,Z) = S(Y,Z) + \beta(2\beta - 1)g(Y,Z) - \beta(4\beta - 1)\eta(Y)\eta(Z),$ 

(iii) 
$$\bar{R}(X,Y)Z = -\bar{R}(Y,X)Z$$
,

(iv) 
$$\bar{R}(X,Y)Z + \bar{R}(Y,Z)X + \bar{R}(Z,X)Y = 0$$
,

$$(v) g(\bar{R}(X,Y)Z,U) = -g(\bar{R}(X,Y)U,Z),$$

(vi) 
$$\bar{r} = r - 2\beta + 2\beta^2$$
.

# 5 Projective curvature tensor on 3-dimensional non-cosymplectic quasi-Sasakian manifolds with respect to the quarter-symmetric non-metric $\xi$ -connection $\bar{\nabla}$

In this section we characterize  $\xi$ -projectively flat and  $\phi$ -projectively flat 3-dimensional non-cosymplectic quasi-Sasakian manifold with respect to the quarter-symmetric non-metric  $\xi$ -connection  $\bar{\nabla}$ .

After the conformal curvature tensor, the projective curvature tensor is an important tensor from the differential geometric point of view. Let M be a (2n+1)-dimensional Riemannian manifold. If there exists a one-to-one correspondence between each coordinate neighbourhood of M and a domain in the Euclidean space such that any geodesic of the Riemannian manifold corresponds to a straight line in the Euclidean space, then M is said to be locally projectively flat. For  $n \geq 1$ , M is locally projectively flat if and only if the well-known projective curvature tensor P

vanishes. The projective curvature tensor is defined by [13]

(5.1) 
$$P(X,Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z - \frac{1}{2n}[S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y],$$

where S is the Ricci tensor of M.

Let M be an almost contact metric manifold equipped with an almost contact metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ . At each point  $p \in M$ , we decompose the tangent space  $T_pM$  into direct sum  $T_pM = \phi(T_pM) \oplus \{\xi_p\}$ , where  $\{\xi_p\}$  is the 1-dimensional linear subspace of  $T_pM$  generated by  $\{\xi_p\}$ . Thus the conformal curvature tensor C is a map

$$C: T_pM \times T_pM \times T_pM \longrightarrow \phi(T_pM) \oplus \{\xi_p\}, \qquad p \in M.$$

It may be natural to consider the following particular cases:

- (1)  $C: T_pM \times T_pM \times T_pM \longrightarrow \{\xi_p\}$ , i.e, the projection of the image of C in  $\phi(T_pM)$  is zero.
- (2)  $C: T_pM \times T_pM \times T_pM \longrightarrow \phi(T_pM)$ , i.e, the projection of the image of C in  $\{\xi_p\}$  is zero. This condition is equivalent to

$$(5.2) C(X,Y)\xi = 0.$$

(3)  $C: \phi(T_pM) \times \phi(T_pM) \times \phi(T_pM) \longrightarrow \{\xi_p\}$ , i.e, when C is restricted to  $\phi(T_pM) \times \phi(T_pM) \times \phi(T_pM)$ , the projection of the image of C in  $\phi(T_pM)$  is zero. This condition is equivalent to

(5.3) 
$$\phi^2 C(\phi X, \phi Y) \phi Z = 0.$$

A K-contact manifold satisfying (5.2) and (5.3) are called  $\xi$ -conformally flat and  $\phi$ -conformally flat respectively. A K-contact manifold satisfying the cases (1), (2) and (3) are considered in [29], [30] and [31] respectively.

In an analogous way we define projective curvature tensor  $\bar{P}$  on 3-dimension non-cosymplectic quasi-Sasakian manifold with respect to a special type of quarter-symmetric non-metric  $\xi$ -connection  $\bar{\nabla}$ , by

(5.4) 
$$\bar{P}(X,Y)Z = \bar{R}(X,Y)Z - \frac{1}{2}[\bar{S}(Y,Z)X - \bar{S}(X,Z)Y].$$

**Definition 5.1.** A 3-dimensional quasi-Sasakian manifold M with respect to the quarter-symmetric non-metric  $\xi$ -connection  $\bar{\nabla}$  is said to be  $\xi$ -projectively flat if the condition  $\bar{P}(X,Y)\xi=0$  holds.

So using (4.3), (4.4) and (2.3) the equation (5.4) becomes

$$\begin{split} \bar{P}(X,Y)Z &= P(X,Y)Z - \beta(\beta-1)\eta(X)g(Y,Z)\xi + \beta(\beta-1)\eta(Y)g(X,Z)\xi \\ &+ \beta^2 g(\phi Y,Z)\phi X - \beta^2 g(\phi X,Z)\phi Y + 2\beta(\beta-1)g(\phi Y,X)\phi Z \\ &+ \beta^2 \eta(X)\eta(Z)Y - \beta^2 \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X - \frac{1}{2}[\beta(2\beta-1)g(Y,Z)X \\ &- \beta(2\beta-1)g(X,Z)Y + \beta(4\beta-1)\eta(X)\eta(Z)Y \\ &- \beta(4\beta-1)\eta(Y)\eta(Z)X], \end{split}$$
(5.5)

where  $P(X,Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z - \frac{1}{2}[S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y]$  is the projective curvature tensor with respect to the Levi-Civita connection on a 3-dimensional quasi-Sasakian manifold.

Putting  $Z = \xi$  in equation (5.5) and using (2.3), we conclude that

$$\bar{P}(X,Y)\xi = P(X,Y)\xi.$$

Thus, we can state the following theorem:

**Theorem 5.1.** A 3-dimensional non-cosymplectic quasi-Sasakian manifold M with constant structure function admitting the quarter-symmetric non-metric  $\xi$ -connection  $\nabla$  is  $\xi$ -projectively flat if and only if the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$  is so.

**Definition 5.2.** A 3-dimensional quasi-Sasakian manifold M with respect to the quarter-symmetric non-metric  $\xi$ -connection  $\bar{\nabla}$  is said to be  $\phi$ -projectively flat if it satisfies the condition

(5.6) 
$$q(\bar{P}(\phi X, \phi Y)\phi Z, \phi U) = 0.$$

From (5.4), it follows that

$$\widetilde{\bar{P}}(X,Y,Z,U) = \widetilde{\bar{R}}(X,Y,Z,U) - \frac{1}{2}[\bar{S}(Y,Z)g(X,U) - \bar{S}(X,Z)g(Y,U)],$$
(5.7)

where  $\widetilde{\bar{P}}(X,Y,Z,U) = g(\bar{P}(X,Y)Z,U)$ , for  $X,Y,Z,U \in \chi(M)$ . Putting  $X = \phi X, Y = \phi Y, Z = \phi Z$  and  $U = \phi U$  in (5.7), it is obvious that

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\bar{P}}(\phi X, \phi Y, \phi Z, \phi U) &= \widetilde{\bar{R}}(\phi X, \phi Y, \phi Z, \phi U) - \frac{1}{2} [\bar{S}(\phi Y, \phi Z) g(\phi X, \phi U) \\ &- \bar{S}(\phi X, \phi Z) g(\phi Y, \phi U)]. \end{split}$$

Let  $\{e_1, e_2, \xi\}$  be a local orthonormal basis of vector fields in M. Then  $\{\phi e_1, \phi e_2, \xi\}$  is also a local orthonormal basis. Putting  $X = U = e_i$  in (5.8), taking summation over  $i, 1 \le i \le 2$  and also using (2.3), we get

$$\widetilde{\bar{P}}(\phi e_i, \phi Y, \phi Z, \phi e_i) = \widetilde{\bar{R}}(\phi e_i, \phi Y, \phi Z, \phi e_i) - \frac{1}{2} [\bar{S}(\phi Y, \phi Z) g(\phi e_i, \phi e_i) - \bar{S}(\phi e_i, \phi Z) g(\phi Y, \phi e_i)].$$
(5.9)

In view of (5.6) and (5.9) and using (2.3), we obtain

$$\bar{S}(\phi Y, \phi Z) = 0.$$

Combining (2.9), (4.4) and (5.10) and using (2.3), we have

(5.11) 
$$S(Y,Z) - 2\beta^2 \eta(Y) \eta(Z) + \beta(2\beta - 1) q(\phi Y, \phi Z) = 0.$$

By making use of (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) in (5.11), we can write

$$(5.12) S(Y,Z) - \beta(4\beta - 1)\eta(Y)\eta(Z) + \beta(2\beta - 1)g(Y,Z) = 0.$$

Interchanging Y and Z in (5.12) and using (2.7), it follows that

$$(5.13) S(Y,Z) - \beta(4\beta - 1)\eta(Y)\eta(Z) + \beta(2\beta - 1)g(Y,Z) = 0.$$

Adding the equations (5.12) and (5.13), we conclude that

$$S(Y, Z) = \beta(4\beta - 1)\eta(Y)\eta(Z) - \beta(2\beta - 1)g(Y, Z),$$

which means that a  $\phi$ -projectively flat 3—dimensional quasi-Sasakian manifold with constant structure function admitting the quarter-symmetric non-metric  $\xi$ -connection  $\bar{\nabla}$  is an  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold with respect to the Levi-Civita connection.

In view of the above discussions we state the following theorem:

**Theorem 5.2.** A  $\phi$ -projectively flat 3-dimensional non-cosymplectic quasi-Sasakian manifold M with constant structure function admitting the quarter-symmetric non-metric  $\xi$ -connection  $\bar{\nabla}$  is an  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold with respect to the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$ .

### 6 The second order symmetric parallel tensor

**Definition 6.1.** A tensor  $\alpha$  of second order is said to be a parallel tensor if  $\nabla \alpha = 0$ , where  $\nabla$  denotes the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to the metric tensor g.

Let  $\bar{\alpha}$  be a (0,2)-symmetric tensor field on a 3-dimensional non-cosymplectic quasi-Sasakian manifold with respect to the quarter-symmetric non-metric  $\xi$ -connection  $\bar{\nabla}$  such that  $\bar{\nabla}\alpha=0$ . Then it follows that

(6.1) 
$$\bar{\alpha}(\bar{R}(W,X)Y,Z) + \bar{\alpha}(Y,\bar{R}(W,X)Z) = 0,$$

for all vector fields W, X, Y, Z.

Substitution of  $W = Y = Z = \xi$  in (6.1) yields

(6.2) 
$$\bar{\alpha}(R(\xi, X)\xi, \xi) = 0,$$

since  $\bar{\alpha}$  is symmetric. Using (4.3) in (6.2) we have

$$\bar{\alpha}(\xi,\xi) = 0.$$

Differentiating (6.3) covariantly along X, we get

(6.4) 
$$\bar{\alpha}(\phi X, \xi) = 0.$$

Substituting X by  $\phi X$  in (6.4) yields

$$\bar{\alpha}(X,\xi) = 0.$$

Again differentiating (6.4) covariantly along Y and making use of (6.3) and (6.4), we get

$$\bar{\alpha}(\phi X, \phi Y) = 0.$$

Substitution of  $X = \phi X$  and  $Y = \phi Y$  in (6.6) yields

$$\bar{\alpha}(X,Y) = 0.$$

In view of (6.7) we can state the following:

**Theorem 6.1.** On a 3-dimensional non-cosymplectic quasi-Sasakian manifold there does not exist a nonzero symmetric parallel tensor of second order with respect to a quarter-symmetric non-metric  $\xi$ -connection.

### 7 Example

In this section, we give an example of a 3-dimensional quasi-Sasakian manifold M with constant structure function admitting the quarter-symmetric non-metric  $\xi$ -connection  $\bar{\nabla}$ .

We consider the 3-dimensional manifold  $\{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : (x,y,z) \neq 0\}$ , where (x,y,z) are the standard coordinates in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

We choose the vector fields

$$e_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \ e_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \ e_3 = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x},$$

which are linearly independent at each point of M.

Let g be the Riemannian metric defined by

$$g(e_i, e_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} & i = j \\ 0 & \text{if} & i \neq j; \ i, j = 1, 2, 3. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\eta$  be the 1-form defined by

$$\eta(Z) = g(Z, e_3),$$

for any  $Z \in \chi(M)$ .

Let  $\phi$  be the (1,1)-tensor field defined by

$$\phi(e_1) = -e_2, \ \phi(e_2) = e_1, \ \phi(e_3) = 0.$$

Using the linearity of  $\phi$  and g, we have

$$\eta(e_3) = 1, \ \phi^2 Z = -Z + \eta(Z)e_3$$

and

$$g(\phi Z, \phi U) = g(Z, U) - \eta(Z)\eta(U),$$

for any vector fields  $Z, U \in \chi(M)$ . Thus for  $e_3 = \xi$ , the structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  defines an almost contact metric structure on M.

Then we have

(7.1) 
$$[e_1, e_2] = \frac{1}{2}e_3, [e_1, e_3] = 0, [e_2, e_3] = 0.$$

The Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$  of the metric tensor g is given by Koszul's formula

$$2g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = Xg(Y, Z) + Yg(Z, X) - Zg(X, Y)$$
$$-g(X, [Y, Z]) - g(Y, [X, Z]) + g(Z, [X, Y]).$$

Using Koszul's formula, we get the following:

$$\nabla_{e_1} e_1 = 0, \ \nabla_{e_1} e_2 = -\frac{1}{4} e_3, \ \nabla_{e_1} e_3 = \frac{1}{4} e_2,$$

$$\nabla_{e_2} e_1 = \frac{1}{4} e_3, \ \nabla_{e_2} e_2 = 0, \ \nabla_{e_2} e_3 = -\frac{1}{4} e_1,$$

$$\nabla_{e_3} e_1 = \frac{1}{4} e_2, \ \nabla_{e_3} e_2 = -\frac{1}{4} e_1, \ \nabla_{e_3} e_3 = 0.$$

In view of the above relations, we see that

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\beta \phi X, \ (\nabla_X \phi) Y = \beta g(X, Y) \xi - \eta(Y) X.$$

Therefore, the manifold is a 3-dimensional quasi-Sasakian manifold M with constant structure function  $\beta = \frac{1}{4}$ .

Using (3.1) in above equations, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \bar{\nabla}_{e_1}e_1 &= 0, \; \bar{\nabla}_{e_1}e_2 = -\frac{1}{4}e_3, \; \bar{\nabla}_{e_1}e_3 = 0, \\ \bar{\nabla}_{e_2}e_1 &= \frac{1}{4}e_3, \; \bar{\nabla}_{e_2}e_2 = 0, \; \bar{\nabla}_{e_2}e_3 = 0, \\ \bar{\nabla}_{e_3}e_1 &= e_2, \; \bar{\nabla}_{e_3}e_2 = -e_1, \; \bar{\nabla}_{e_3}e_3 = 0. \end{split}$$

The above arguments tell us that M is a 3-dimensional quasi-Sasakian manifold with constant structure function admitting a type of quarter-symmetric non-metric  $\xi$ -connection  $\bar{\nabla}$ .

The expressions of the curvature tensor with respect to  $\nabla$  are :

$$R(e_1, e_2)e_3 = 0, \ R(e_2, e_3)e_3 = \frac{1}{16}e_2, \ R(e_1, e_3)e_3 = \frac{1}{16}e_1,$$

$$R(e_1, e_2)e_2 = -\frac{3}{16}e_1, \ R(e_2, e_3)e_2 = -\frac{1}{16}e_3, \ R(e_1, e_3)e_2 = 0,$$

$$R(e_1, e_2)e_1 = \frac{3}{16}e_2, \ R(e_2, e_3)e_1 = 0, \ R(e_1, e_3)e_1 = -\frac{1}{16}e_3.$$

From the above expressions the non-zero components of the Ricci tensor with respect to  $\nabla$  are given by

$$S(e_1, e_1) = -\frac{1}{8}, \ S(e_2, e_2) = -\frac{1}{8}, \ S(e_3, e_3) = \frac{1}{8}.$$

Similarly, the expressions of the curvature tensor with respect to  $\bar{\nabla}$  are :

$$\begin{split} &\bar{R}(e_1,e_2)e_3=0,\ \bar{R}(e_1,e_3)e_3=0,\ \bar{R}(e_2,e_3)e_3=0,\\ &\bar{R}(e_1,e_2)e_1=-\frac{1}{2}e_2,\ \bar{R}(e_1,e_2)e_2=0,\ \bar{R}(e_2,e_3)e_3=0,\\ &R(e_2,e_1)e_1=0. \end{split}$$

From the above expressions the components of the Ricci tensor with respect to  $\bar{\nabla}$  are given by

$$\bar{S}(e_1, e_1) = 0, \ \bar{S}(e_2, e_2) = 0, \ \bar{S}(e_3, e_3) = 0.$$

In view of the above equations we can easily obtain

$$P(e_i, e_j)e_3 = 0 = \bar{P}(e_i, e_j)e_3,$$

for all  $1 \le i, j \le 3$ . Therefore theorem 5.1 is verified.

**Acknowledgement.** The authors are thankful to the referee for his valuable comments and suggestions towards the improvement of the paper.

### References

- [1] K. Amur and S. S. Pujar, On submanifolds of a Riemannian manifold admitting a metric semi-symmetric connection, Tensor, N. S., 32(1978), 35-38.
- [2] O. C. Andonie, On semi-symmetric non-metric connection on a Riemannian manifold, Ann. Fac. Sci. De Kinshasa, Zaire Sect. Math. Phys., 2(1976).
- [3] A. Barman, On a type of quarter-symmetric non-metric φ-connection on a Kenmotsu manifold, Bulletin of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 4(2012), 1-11.
- [4] A. Barman, Non-degenerate hypersurfaces of a semi-Riemannian manifold with a quarter-symmetric non-metric connection, Acta Mathematica Academiae Paedagogicae Nyiregyhaziensis, 32(2016), 141-148.
- [5] A. Barman, A special type of quarter-symmetric non-metric connection on P-Sasakian manifolds, Bull. of the Transilvania University of Brasov Series III: Math., Inform., Physics, 11(60) (2018), 11-22.
- [6] D. E. Blair, Contact Manifolds in Riemannian Geometry, Lecture notes in Math. 509, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1976.
- [7] D. E. Blair, Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Sympletic Manifolds, Progress Math., 203, Birkhauser, Boston Basel Berlin, 2002.
- [8] D. E. Blair, The theory of quasi-Sasakian structure, J. Differential Geom., 1(1967), 331-345.
- [9] T. Q. Binh, On semi-symmetric connections, Periodica Math. Hungerica, 21(1990), 101-107.
- [10] U. C. De, On a type of semi-symmetric connection on a Riemannian manifold, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 21(1990), 334-338.
- [11] U. C. De and A. K. Mondal, Quarter-symmetric metric connection on 3dimensional quasi-Sasakian manifolds, Sut Journal of Mathematics, 46(2010), 35-52.

- [12] U. C. De and A. K. Mondal, Hypersurfaces of Kenmotsu manifolds endowed with a quarter-symmetric non-metric connection, Kuwait J. Sci. Eng. 39(2012), 43-56.
- [13] A. Friedman and J. A. Schouten, *über die Geometric der halbsymmetrischen übertragung*, Math., Zeitschr., 21(1924), 211-223.
- [14] S. Golab, On semi-symmetric and quarter-symmetric linear connections, Tensor N. S., 29(1975), 249-254.
- [15] H. A. Hayden, Subspaces of space with torsion, Proc. London Math. Soc., 34(1932), 27-50.
- [16] R. S. Mishra and S. N. Pandey, On quarter-symmetric metric F-connections, Tensor, N. S., 34(1980), 1-7.
- [17] A. K. Mondal and U. C. De, Quarter-symmetric non-metric connection on P-Sasakian manifolds, ISRN Geometry, doi: 10.5402/2012/659430, 2012.
- [18] C. Özgür and S. Sular, Warped product manifolds with semi-symmetric metric connections, Taiwan. J. Math., 15(2011), 1701-1719.
- [19] C. Özgür and S. Sular, Generalized Sasakian space forms with semi-symmetric metric connections, An. Stiint. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iasi. Mat. (N. S.), forthcoming.
- [20] Z. F. Ozen, S. A. Uysal and S. A. Demirbag, On sectional curvature of a Riemannian manifold with semi-symmetric metric connection, Ann. Polon. Math., 101(2011), 131-138.
- [21] Z. Olszak, Normal almost contact metric manifolds of dimension three, Ann. Polon. Math., 47(1986), 41-50.
- [22] Z. Olszak, On three dimensional conformally flat quasi-Sasakian manifolds, Periodica Math. Hunger., 33(1996), 105-113.
- [23] M. Prvanovic, On pseudo metric semi-symmetric connections, Pub. De L' Institut Math., Nouvelle serie, 18(1975),157-164.
- [24] R. N. Singh and M. K. Pandey, On a type of semi-symmetric metric connection on a Riemannian manifold, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., 16(2008), 179-184.
- [25] R. N. Singh and M. K. Pandey, On a type of quarter-symmetric non-metric connection in a Kenmotsu manifold, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., 99(2007), 433-444.
- [26] K. Yano, On semi-symmetric metric connection, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures EtAppl., 15(1970), 1570-1586.
- [27] P. B. Zhao, The invariant of projective transformation of semi-symmetric metric-recurrent connections and curvature tensor expressions, Journal of Engineering Mathematics, 17(2000), 105-108.
- [28] P. B. Zhao, H. Z. Song and X. P. Yang, Some invariant properties of the semi-symmetric metric recurrent connection and curvature tensor expressions, Chinese Quarterly J. of Math., 19(2004), 355-361.
- [29] G. Zhen, On conformal symmetric K-contact manifolds, Chinese Quart. J. Math., 7(1992), 5-10.
- [30] G. Zhen, J. L. Cabrerizo, L. M. Fernandez and M. Fernandez, On  $\xi$ -conformally flat K-contact metric manifolds, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 28(1997), 725-734.
- [31] G. Zhen, J. L. Cabrerizo, L. M. Fernandez and M. Fernandez, The structure of a class of K-contact manifolds, Acta Math. Hungar, 82(1999), 331-340.

#### $Authors'\ addresses:$

Ajit Barman Department of Mathematics, Ramthakur College, P.O.: Arundhuti Nagar 799003, Agartala, Dist-West Tripura, Tripura, India. E-mail: ajitbarmanaw@yahoo.in

Uday Chand De Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Calcutta, 35, Ballygunge Circular Road, Kolkata- 700019, West Bengal, India. E-mail:uc\_de@yahoo.com